

## RIGHT OF MAINTENANCE TO AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD: A BRIEF REVIEW OF INDIAN LAW

Preeti<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Nidhi Saxena<sup>2</sup>

Department of Law

<sup>1,2</sup>Shri Venkateshwara University, Gajraula (Amroha), U.P. India

### *Abstract*

*In the present post-current world, there is widely less disgrace joined to such terms. All through history, there is doubtlessly no other word related with such a great amount of contempt as snap or crime. Early exclusively based law treatment of the same is without regard to the social consequences of the same. They were not seen in generous society and were surrendered no rights. As in front of timetable as 1235, the Earls and Barons declined to recognize their ill-conceived off spring, Rights over property were an unachievable dream, when they were not by any methods yielded bolster. This treatment of ill-conceived youngsters has been uniform all through the world, with two or three inconsistencies. It isn't possible to neglect certain prominent mutts that changed the course of history. Regardless, in spite of these exclusions, there has reliably been a social disrespect related with ill-conceived youngsters. Society has constantly prohibited such youngsters, who through no fault of their own were managed as unsuitable among various people from society. In powerful countries like the United States of America society is generally receptive to such Children and the laws constrained in like manner ensure that their rights are secured. Off late in countries like India there has been a modification in the individual laws to merge this headway, the most recent being the amendment of Section 16 of the Hindu Marriage Act and a couple of lawful decisions. This paper attempts to track the support laws to ill-conceived youngsters in reference to the Indian Law.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Illegitimacy is an idea which implies not permitted by the overall esteems or conceived of parents not wedded to each other. An illegitimate child needs to tolerate legitimate and sociological outcomes. Things being what they are, who is an Illegitimate Child? An Illegitimate Child is one who has the assurance of his/her mother however no conviction of his/her father.

Another inquiry that emerges here is the thing that for a child endures just because of vulnerability of the father? Maybe the predominance of the Patriarchal arrangement of society over Matriarchal framework everywhere throughout the world is the principle explanation behind the torment of the illegitimate child. The antiquated Latin announcement, "Mater semper certaest" ("The mother is constantly

sure", while the father isn't) says it all [1].

## **2. LEGITIMATE & ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN**

- **In India**

The Indian laws had ordered children into two noteworthy sorts i.e. Honest to goodness and Illegitimate. The Legitimate Children are the offsprings of substantial relational unions while illegitimate children are offsprings of the marriage that is invalid, sporadic or void. There are no issues with respect to the legal rights of a honest to goodness child while there do emerge a ton of issues when rights of an illegitimate child are concerned [2]. As indicated by this section, a child resulting from a void, substantial or voidable marriage will be thought to be true blue child of that couple despite the normality or inconsistency of marriage on any ground.

### **Perfect and Statutory Legitimacy**

A child is said to be impeccably authentic when he/she is conceived out of a substantial or a customary marriage. In the event of a statutory authentic child he/she is one who is posterity of an unpredictable or invalid marriage. After the initiation of Section 16 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 this idea of Statutory Legitimacy has been drawn up.

## **3. ILLEGITIMACY ACCORDING TO INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872**

Section 112 of the Indian Evidence Act

characterizes illegitimacy of a child by saying that the way that any child was conceived amid the continuation of a substantial marriage between his mother and any man, or inside two hundred and eighty days after disintegration of marriage and the mother stayed unmarried, till then might be decisive confirmation that he is the true blue child of that man, unless it can be demonstrated that the gatherings to the marriage had no entrance to each other whenever when he could have been generated [3].

### **In the West**

In the custom-based law, legitimacy is the status of a child destined to parents who were legally hitched to each other, and the child was considered before they got legally separated. On the other hand, illegitimacy (or bastardy) is the status of a child conceived outside marriage. Illegitimacy likewise rises the inquiry with respect to right of maintenance of child and mother from the putative father. As indicated by Friedmann in his 'Law in a C do is to limit the incidents of children conceived past wedlock. In any case, it can, and should, energize the legitimacy of children by consequent marriage. Legitimacy is currently perceived in number of laws. Be that as it may, this is liable to significant constraints. Under Scottish Law, for example, a child couldn't be legitimated by the consequent marriage of his parents, unless they were allowed to wed at the season of its origination [4]. In England, the position is the same aside from that the

applicable date is that of the introduction of the child. In the current Report of the Royal Commission on Marriage and Divorce, a minority of seven individuals contended powerfully that this separation demonized children for the inadequacies of their parents

#### 4. SOCIAL PERSPECTIVE OF AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD

##### *Ancient India*

Antiquated India has an exceptionally excessively critical view with respect to an illegitimate child. The different religious messages in the Hindu folklore are copious with awesome individuals who were illegitimate children and had no fatherly certainty".Being a Let u well known epic it resembles a reflection of the general public and gives a Hindu's) state of mind towards life. The epic als mind as it is broadly perused (might be in sections) and viewed over TV.

Illegitimate children in the general public are frequently vilified. The treatment given to the illegitimate children in the epic is characteristic of the way the Hindu society treats its illegitimate children. There are a considerable measure of cases of illegitimate children who were incredible individuals in the past and who were abused by the antiquated society and were dealt with as items just to serve the true blue.

##### 1. **Vyasa**

Vyasa was the illegitimate child of sage Parashara and Satyavati. He was conceived

of pre-conjugal relationship of his parents. Vyasa's significance in the epic is ruler of Satyavati's kingdom. However, this wa yet it was done as King Shantanu and his two children Chitrangad and Vichitravirya had passed on leaving no successor to the honored position. As the kingdom was without successor, Satyavati first requested that Bhishma perform Niyoga (levirate) on the dowagers of Vichitravirya and Chitrangad so as to have beneficiary to the position of royalty. At the point when Bhishma won't, Satyavati summoned Vyasa. As no real child was left, Illegitimate was summoned as an issue of need! After this occasion illegitimate beginning was not given much significance in the whole epic [5]. He was dealt with as a typical genuine child. So would we be able to state that if an illegitimate child is found to come in some helpful reason at that point is his illegitimacy can be quite recently overlooked? Does the general public recognize the illegitimate children when they never again represent a danger to their notoriety? Most likely the transgression of youthful age loses its shame when one develops old and if the illegitimate child turns out to be commendable, the power of illegitimacy goes down.

##### 2. **Karna**

Karna was Kunti's illegitimate child and he others conscious treatment that Vyasa got. All through the epic Karna was dealt with as a peon on account of his obscure starting point. Right off the bat he was denied of his training by Dronacharya as he declined to

take him as his student. Furthermore he was constantly reminded in the epic that he was a child of a charioteer and was found in a wicker container in a stream. This demonstrates his illegitimate starting point was viewed as a major disgrace. Karna slaughtered a cow of a Brahmin mixing up it as a wild creature. The epic is by all accounts betraying Karna. He was reviled by Parshurama and by the Brahmin. He was denied of his normal protective layer by the sun God. He was killed when he was powerless. Did the epic communicate something specific this is the thing that happens to the illegitimate child in the event that he tries to a high position? Then again Vvasa and Vidura get much better treatment. Does it demonstrate that an illegitimate child of a Brahmin sage can be given better treatment however not of others? The Brahminical effect on the epic is self-evident. Karna gloated of being fit for murdering Arjuna, despite his twice being vanquished by Arjuna [6]. He gave away his normal covering, despite the fact that he realized that it might cost him his life. Is it accurate to say that he was looking for grandness in death? Had he gone into the attitude that at any rate after his demise individuals will extol him as an extraordinary warrior and contributor? Was it an endeavor of washing without end the shame by blood?

### 3. Ghatotkacha

Another imperative illegitimate child in the epic is Ghatotkacha, the half-position resulting from the union of the Pandava

ruler Bhima and the RakashasiHidimba. He was an essential character in the epic as he spared Arjun's life and the customary harsh treatment that one could provide for a child conceived past wedlock. At the point when at last the fight was over in the epic Lord Krishna comes to Bhima and reveals to him that if Ghatotkacha had not surrendered his life to spare Arjun then he would have murdered him himself with his own particular hands in light of the fact that as per him example of Bhima regretting over Ghatotkacha'

Rakashasi ladies mourning over the passing of their spouses or children in the whole epic demonstrates that the creator of Mahabharatha had an aloof disposition towards the sentiments of these gathering of individuals [7].

We can see that in the past the illegitimate children were dealt with as soil and their status in the general public was not as amazing and sparkly as that of the honest to goodness child. The way that a significant number of them were dealt with diversely because of their commitments to the general public demonstrates the way that the general public responded distinctively with various illegitimate children thus did the child.

### *Modern India*

The outlook of the Indian individuals have changed a considerable measure and now as opposed to speculation as far as religious philosophy the Indians have now embraced judiciousness as the new key which could

just open the entryway of a brilliant Indian future. The different judgments of the pinnacle court in our nation with respect to cases including rights to an illegitimate child have been founded on significant law as well as on the premise of humankind and sheer kindheartedness to that child [8]. In one such case in the year 2011, the Supreme Court of India held that the illegitimate children were not just qualified for an offer in the self-gained property of parents yet in addition in tribal property.

A seat of Honorable judges G. S. Singhvi and A. K. Ganguly said in a judgment that such children can't be denied of their property rights as what was viewed as illegitimate in the past may not be so in the present evolving society. It additionally said that the court needs to recall that connection between the parents may not be authorized by the law but rather the birth of the child in such a relationship should be seen freely of the relationship of parents.

As per the favorable relationship seat, is honest "and child is qualified for every one of the rights which are given to other children conceived of legitimate marriage. Ideal to property is not any more essential however it is a protected right and Article 300A contains a certification against hardship of property right spare by specialist of law," [9]

## 5. ILLEGITIMATE CHILD- RIGHT TO PROPERTY

The case RohitShekhar v. Narayan

DuttTiwari and Others has mixed up many inquiries that stay unanswered in the laws administering Hindu social connections and inheritance when the fatherly connection of Congress pioneer ND Tiwari with RohitShekhar was demonstrated after a DNA test. One critical alteration was done in 1976 to Section 16 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1956, presenting the privilege of inheritance to father's property on children resulting from void or voidable relational unions, regardless of whether so pronounced by a court of law. In any case, marriage, be it void or voidable, between a man and lady was a pre-condition to present ideal on their progeny, regardless of the possibility that illegitimate according to society, to lay claim over the father's property.

The Supreme Court in *JiniaKeotin v. Kumar SitaramManjh* stated, "Section 16 of the Act, while engrafting an administer of fiction in appointing children, however illegitimate, to be authentic, despite the marriage was void or voidable, picked additionally to bind its application, so far as progression or inheritance by such children are worried, to the properties of the parents as it were." This implied the illegitimate child could acquire the property of his father just and had no privilege of acquiring the genealogical property of his father which a honest to goodness child could. If there should arise an occurrence of live seeing someone where there is no marriage between the couple, the good Supreme Court of India has said that it would assume that the couple in a live-in relationship would be a couple on the off

chance that they had been living under a similar rooftop for a drawn out stretch of time and on the off chance that they were referred to in the general public as spouse and wife.<sup>12</sup> In *S. P. S. Balasubramanyam v. Sruttayan*, the Supreme Court had stated, "If a man and lady are living under a similar rooftop and living together for various years, there will be assumption under Section 114 of the Evidence Act that they live as a couple and the children destined to them won't be illegitimate." [10]

The condition for the child conceived out of a Live-in relationship to be dealt with as a true blue child and not as an illegitimate child is that the live-in couple ought to have been living respectively as a husband and spouse as perceived by the general public and furthermore living respectively for a drawn out stretch of time. On the off chance that these pre-essentials are not there and the overthrow relationship' at that point it isn't workable for the illegitimate child for as expressed him/her by the Honorable Supreme Court in the judgment given by it on account of *Madan Mohan Singh v. Rajni Kant*<sup>14</sup>. Discussing the rights of a child resulting from the stroll in and exit relationship, where a DNA test demonstrates the organic connection between the child and the father however the mother was in a subsisting legal hitched association with another man, the court is yet to answer and take care of this issue. Regardless of the possibility that one applies the 1976 alteration to Hindu Marriage Act in the broadest conceivable abundance, at that

point too the mother of the child should first case marriage or adequately involved acquaintance, without her approaching some other man, for the law to give right of inheritance on the posterity to the father's property.

On account of *Dr. Vijay Mohan Arbat v. KashiRaoRajaramSawai* the Honorable Supreme Court said that the Section 125 of Code of Criminal Procedure presents an obligation on the child to keep up his organic father or mother and it doesn't exculpate a wedded girl of this duty. In *Kanti Devi case*<sup>16</sup>, the Honorable Supreme Court stated, "The consequence of a certifiable DNA test is said to be experimentally exact. In any case, even that isn't sufficient to escape from the decisiveness of Section 112 of the Evidence Act, for instance, if a couple were living respectively amid the season of origination yet the DNA test uncovered that the child was not conceived of the spouse, the convincingness of law would remain un-rebuttable." This may look hard from the perspective of the husband who might be constrained to hold up under the fatherhood of a child of which he might be honest. In any case, even in such a case, the law inclines for the pure child from being perverted if his mother and her life partner were living respectively amid the season of origination [11].

Subsequently what can be said is that there is no uncommon change in the Indian Society yet surely there are changes that are

occurring.

## 6. LEGAL PERSPECTIVE OF AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD

### Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973

As per Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 an illegitimate child can get maintenance from his father or mother in the event that he/she can't look after himself/herself whether wedded or not. He/She if has achieved lion's share, and by chance because of any physical or mental variation from the norm or damage can't look after himself/herself at that point can likewise get upkeep under this section. This is the principle section of the Indian Law that is an aid for illegitimate children (who can't look after himself/herself) as it gives brisk and honest to goodness upkeep to illegitimate children.

### Section 20 of the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956

As indicated by this section of the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1956 any Legitimate or illegitimate child can or has the privilege to maintenance from both of his parents so long they are minor and they are not in a circumstance to look after them. The announcement peruses as "Maintenance of children and matured parents"

1. Subject to the arrangements of this section a Hindu is bound, amid his lifetime, to keep up his or her honest to goodness or illegitimate children

and his or her matured or sick parents.

2. An authentic or illegitimate child may assert maintenance from his or her father or mother insofar as the child is a minor.
3. The commitment of a man to keep up his or her matured or weak parent or a little girl who is unmarried reaches out in so far as the parent or the unmarried little girl, by and large, can't keep up himself or herself out of his or her own

### Section 16 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955

As per this section of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1956 any child conceived out of a substantial/customary, invalid/unpredictable, void or voidable marriage should be thought to be the honest to goodness child of that couple. The fact that the marriage was in repudiation of the laws does not change the truth that the child was an honest to goodness Children of void and voidable relational unions":

1. Despite that marriage is invalid and void under section 11, any child of such marriage who might have been genuine if the marriage had been substantial, should be authentic, regardless of whether such child is conceived earlier or after the beginning of the Marriage Laws (Amendment)

Act, 1976 (68 of 1976)\*, and regardless of whether an announcement of nullity is conceded in regard of that marriage under this Act and regardless of whether the marriage is held to be void generally than on an appeal to under this act.

2. Where a pronouncement of nullity is allowed in regard of a voidable marriage under section 12, any child sired or imagined before the announcement is made, who might have been the real child of the gatherings to the marriage if at the date of the declaration it had been broken up as opposed to being repealed, should be considered to be their true blue child despite the announcement of nullity.

Despite anything contained in sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) should be translated as giving upon any child of a marriage which is invalid and void or which is dissolved by the declaration of nullity under section 12, any rights in or to the property of a man, other than the parents, on the off chance that where, yet the death of his Act, such child would have been unequipped for having or securing any such rights by reason of his not being the genuine child of his parents.

## 7. ILLEGITIMATE CHILD'S RIGHT

### TO MAINTENANCE ACCORDING TO THE HINDU COPARCENARY CONCEPT

On the off chance that we are to talk about the rights of a child who is conceived past wedlock or an illegitimate child, at that point the different choices given by different courts in our nation goes to a great deal of assistance. One such case is the **Sujata v. Krishna Prasad** where the noteworthy court watched; that however the children resulting from void relational unions are influenced honest to goodness, to section 16(3) of Hindu Marriage Act disallows the conferment of any privilege on them in the property of any individual, other than the parents. Along these lines, the legitimized child can't get an offer in the property which has a place with coparcenaries of which his father is a part and that the legitimized child should prevail to the property on the demise of his parents [12].

### In Case Of Partition of a Coparcenary Property:

There are two broad stem of schools that deals with the partition right of an illegitimate child.

- The Mitakshara Law/School and
- The Madras and Bombay School

As per the **Mitakshara School** of Legitimacy, a child conceived as a Perfect Legitimate child or Statutory Legitimate child will have the privilege to request parcel of the genealogical/coparcenary

property from his father amid his lifetime and after his demise to his Grandfather.

As indicated by the **Madras and Bombay School**, a child conceived as a Perfect Legitimate child or Statutory Legitimate child won't have the privilege to request segment of the genealogical/coparcenary property from the Father amid his lifetime. Yet, after his passing he can ask to his grandfather.

## 8. MUSLIM LAWS THAT REGULATE THE RIGHTS OF AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD

To the extent Muslim marriage is worried, there is no such procedure or strategy by which some honorable status is allowed over an illegitimate child. In any case, there are extent of customary Muslim law which concedes the affirmation of legitimacy, yet it is seen that the possibility of this administration isn't to legitimize an illegitimate child yet for another reason. Since a Muslim marriage is a contractual commitment and not a hallowed obligation, consequently it is seen that there are a great deal of occasions of invalid relational unions. With this outcome legitimacy of the children resulting from such marriage is for the most part questioned. It is simply because of this fact that Muslim law empowers a father to recognize the paternity of a child under certain legal circumstances [13].

## 9. CONCLUSION

The Indian Society is a supernatural society thus it is experiencing a change organize which comprises of two general classifications of individuals with two unmistakable philosophies. One of the gatherings puts stock in the customary techniques for Hindu religion where having an illegitimate child is an unthinkable and being one is a greater shame. They put stock in virtue of life and the practice of purity. The other gathering in the general public comprises of individuals who are objective and liberal in their viewpoint and don't consider illegitimacy as a shame. They don't accuse an illegitimate child for his/her reality rather accuses the flippant couple. The laws in the general public are additionally being altered as needs be as the time and the circumstance requests. In this manner what we can state is that the Indian Laws are the identical representation of the Indian Society.

## REFERENCES

1. Solangel Maldonado, *Illegitimate Harm: Law, Stigma, and Discrimination Against Nonmarital Children*, 63 Fla.L.Rev 345 (2011).
2. *Vellayappa v. Natarajan*, (1931) 58 IA 402: 55 Mad
3. *Munnuswami v. Swaminathan*, AIR 1953 Mad
4. *Karupapannan v. Bulokam*, (1990) 23 Mad
5. *Neelamma and Ors. v. Sarojamma and Ors.* (2006) 9 SCC 612, *BharathaMatha and Anr. v. R. VijayaRenganathan and Ors.*, AIR

2010 SC 2685

6. ParayankandiyalEravathKanapraavan  
KallianiAmma (Smt.) and Ors. v. K.  
Devi and Ors. (1996)
7. Dr. H.D.Kohli, Muslim Law Cases  
& Materials ( Universal Law  
Publishing Co., 2012)
8. Mishra Archana, Adopted and  
Illegitimate Child Under Indian  
Christian Law: Revisiting  
Inheritance Rights (January 17,  
2015).
9. Law Commission of India, Report on  
the Indian Succession Act, 1925  
(110th Report, 1985)
10. J. Venkatesan, Illegitimate children  
entitled to ancestral property: Bench,  
The Hindu, April 8, 2011
11. JiniaKeotin v. Kumar  
SitaramManjhi, 2003 (3 )ALT4 (SC  
) , Bharath Mata v. R.  
VijayaRenganathan (2010) 11 SCC  
483
12. Smt.  
ParayankandiyalEravathkanapraavan  
KallianiAmma& Ors .Vs. K. Devi &  
Ors. 1996 AIR 1963
13. JiniaKeotin&Orsvs Kumar  
SitaramManjhi& Ors2003 (3 )ALT4  
(SC )