Asia Pacific Journal of Research in Business Management
Vol. 16, Issue 10, October 2025 Impact Factor: 8.737,ISSN: (2229-4104)
www.skirec.org Email Id: skirec.org@gmail.com

The Impact of Reciprocal Tariffs under Donald Trump 2.0 on the Global and Indian Economy

Deepak Gulyani,
Assistant Professor of Commerce,

Abstract

The "reciprocal tariff" policy introduced under President Donald Trump's second administration (commonly called *Trump 2.0*)—whereby the United States levies import duties equal to (or exceeding) the tariffs that partner countries impose on U.S. exports—represents a sweeping change in U.S. trade strategy. This paper examines the design and channels of the policy, synthesizes evidence on global effects (trade flows, prices, investment, and growth), and assesses the likely and observed impacts on the Indian economy (export exposure, input-cost channels, trade diversion opportunities, and diplomatic risk). Using official announcements, institutional analyses and peer-reviewed studies, the paper argues that while aggregate short-run GDP effects may appear modest, reciprocal tariffs generate substantial distributional, sectoral and structural distortions that are highly relevant for India's export sectors and for global value-chain configuration. Policy responses for India should focus on export diversification, supply-chain readiness, domestic resilience and active trade diplomacy.

Keywords: reciprocal tariffs; Trump 2.0; global trade; India; trade diversion; value chains; tariffs; trade policy uncertainty.

1. Introduction

Beginning in early 2025 the U.S. administration announced a broad reciprocal-tariff framework designed to match (or exceed) the tariffs charged by partner countries on U.S. exports—shifting from targeted, product-by-product measures to a systematic across-the-board instrument. The policy has been formalized in presidential memoranda, Federal Register notices and U.S. trade-policy instruments that create a baseline of tariff risk for many exporters to the U.S. market. Such a policy changes incentives for importers, exporters and multinational firms and raises the prospect of wide-ranging trade diversion, supply-chain reconfiguration and heightened policy uncertainty.

This paper addresses three questions: (1) How does the reciprocal tariff mechanism operate and what are its immediate transmission channels? (2) What are the likely global economic consequences based on economic theory and the emerging evidence from 2025? (3) How does the policy affect India specifically, and what policy options should Indian authorities and firms consider? The remainder of the paper reviews the mechanism (Section 2), summarizes global effects and evidence (Section 3), analyses India's exposure and likely impacts (Section 4), and offers policy recommendations and conclusions (Sections 5–6).

2. The Reciprocal-Tariff Mechanism and Transmission Channels

Under the reciprocal-tariff framework the U.S. calculates tariffs to match other countries' average applied statutory tariffs or specific product duties and then imposes equivalent or higher duties on imports from those countries unless negotiated reductions are reached. The policy is administered through executive actions, tariff lists and subsequent USTR (United State Trade Representative) guidance that allow for country-specific adjustments and temporary pauses for negotiation. As an instrument it operates through at least four channels:

1. **Price channel:** Higher tariffs raise the landed cost of imports, transmitting to higher consumer prices and input costs for downstream industries.
2. **Trade-volume channel:** Tariffs reduce bilateral import volumes and encourage importers to source from lower-tariff third countries (trade diversion).
3. **Investment/uncertainty channel:** Broad, open-ended tariff risk raises policy uncertainty, deterring investment and prompting firms to delay or reallocate FDI linked to U.S. trade access.
4. **Policy/diplomatic channel:** Tariffs alter negotiation leverage and can prompt strategic concessions, but they may also complicate geopolitical alignment when trade policy is linked to non-trade issues.

Economic theory and the empirical experience from earlier large tariffs (e.g., 2018–2019 U.S. tariffs) indicate near-complete pass-through to domestic prices for affected goods and measurable welfare losses for consumers and downstream users—though some adjustment occurs via source substitution and supply-chain relocation. The 2018 evidence suggests that tariffs are distributed across consumers and importers, with second-round effects on production and investment (Amiti, Redding, & Weinstein, 2019; USITC, 2023).

3. Global Effects: Evidence and Emerging Patterns

3.1 Trade Volumes, Diversion and Reconfiguration

The immediate effect of large-scale reciprocal tariffs is a reallocation of trade flows. Importers facing higher U.S. tariffs respond by seeking alternative suppliers (trade diversion) or by absorbing costs temporarily. The global picture in 2025 shows sharp re-routing in certain sectors and front-loading of shipments ahead of tariff enforcement, followed by declines in bilateral flows from high-tariff countries and increases from lower-tariff suppliers (e.g., Vietnam, Mexico). Multi-region simulations by international research centres and policy bodies warn that, cumulatively, the tariffs can shrink world trade growth and materially disrupt GVCs (Global Value Chain).

3.2 Prices, Welfare and Sectoral Incidence

Empirical studies of large U.S. tariff episodes show significant consumer price increases in affected categories and welfare losses concentrated among downstream users that rely on imported inputs (Amiti et al., 2019). For the 2025 reciprocal regime early analyses and government-level modelling indicate similar patterns: higher consumer prices, narrower product variety, and distributional effects where U.S. domestic producers in protected sectors may gain while U.S. consumers and import-dependent manufacturers lose. International institutions have warned that sustained high tariffs increase the risk of a global growth downgrade.

3.3 Investment, Uncertainty and Growth

Large and uncertain tariff regimes reduce incentives for long-term investment and can depress productivity growth if firms delay capital allocation for fear of future tariff shifts. The IMF and World Bank analyses during 2025 flagged more downside risk to global output from tariff-driven uncertainty; some scenario modelling implied a material reduction in global GDP growth if tariffs remained elevated and retaliation escalated. These macro channels are especially relevant for countries integrated into U.S.-centric production networks.

4. Impact on India: Exposure, Evidence and Channels

4.1 Direct Export Exposure to the U.S.

India’s goods exports to the U.S. (and related services linkages) make the United States a strategically important market. USTR and U.S. trade statistics report large two-way trade volumes: U.S. goods imports from India and bilateral merchandise flows grew in recent years, making tariff access material for many Indian exporters (USTR, 2024). Under a reciprocal regime, a substantial share of India’s commodity and manufacturing exports—textiles, apparel, gems and jewellery, certain engineering goods, and selected agricultural products—face the risk of increased U.S. duties that would reduce price competitiveness and volumes in the U.S. market. Reuters reporting and government sources in 2025 documented both negotiation moves (India exploring tariff cuts on some U.S. products) and estimates of exposure worth tens of billions of dollars of Indian exports under threat.

4.2 Indirect Channels: Inputs, Demand and Investor Sentiment

Indian firms reliant on imported intermediates (metals, electronic components, chemicals) may face higher global input prices as tariffs reshuffle supply chains—raising production costs domestically and compressing export margins. Moreover, if the U.S. tariff shock slows U.S. demand, export-oriented Indian sectors including services with U.S. clients could see reduced orders. Finally, elevated policy risk tends to depress cross-border investment flows or shift investments to countries with more stable tariff regimes. Early 2025 studies and policy notes flagged such indirect channels as key transmission mechanisms for India.

4.3 Trade Diversion Opportunities and Constraints for India

Reciprocal tariffs also create potential opportunities: importers who formerly bought from high-tariff countries may seek alternate suppliers, and India can capture some of the displaced orders. Evidence from 2025 indicates episodes of front-loaded shipments and short-term gains in particular categories (e.g., certain textiles and electronics shipments). However, capture of diverted trade depends on India’s supply-chain readiness—logistics, export capacities, compliance standards—and on competitive dynamics with other Asian suppliers (Vietnam, Bangladesh, Mexico). Thus, trade diversion could be beneficial but is neither automatic nor guaranteed.

4.4 Magnitude and Distributional Effects within India

Macro modelling in policy circles estimated that persistent high reciprocal tariffs could shave a modest fraction off India's headline GDP growth (estimates in the range of a few tenths of a percentage point in adverse scenarios), but the sectoral and regional impacts are larger and politically salient—labour-intensive exporters, MSMEs and clusters dependent on U.S. markets could be materially affected. Indian authorities have therefore treated tariff negotiations as high-priority, balancing tariff concessions against strategic and fiscal considerations.

5. Policy Responses: What India Should Do

1. **Export diversification and market deepening.** Accelerate market access initiatives with ASEAN, Africa, Latin America and the EU to reduce overexposure to any single large market. Proactively negotiate market-opening agreements and trade facilitation to capture diverted trade.
2. **Value-chain upgrading and supply-side improvements.** Invest in logistics, ports, power, skill development and quality standards so Indian firms can scale to meet redirected demand. Policies like PLI (Production Linked Incentives) schemes and infrastructure projects should be accelerated where they remove binding constraints.
3. **Targeted support for vulnerable sectors.** Temporary credit, export finance and input-subsidy schemes for MSMEs and labour-intensive clusters can help cushion transitional shocks without encouraging long-term protection.
4. **Active trade diplomacy and multilateral engagement.** Use bilateral negotiation windows to seek tariff carve-outs/exemptions and press for rules-based dispute settlement at the WTO to limit unilateral escalation. Maintain strategic dialogues to decouple trade negotiations from extraneous geopolitical pressures.
5. **Strengthen domestic demand and resilience.** Because India's domestic market is comparatively large, policies that boost internal demand—rural incomes, public investment, job creation—will help offset external headwinds.

6. Conclusion

Reciprocal tariffs under Trump 2.0 represent a structural shift in U.S. trade policy that raises prices, re-routes global trade, and increases policy uncertainty. While aggregate short-term GDP effects can be contained in many countries, the distributional, sectoral and medium-term structural consequences are significant. For India, the policy poses real risks to certain export sectors and firms while also offering limited trade-diversion opportunities that require substantial supply-side readiness to exploit. India's effective response must combine negotiation and diplomacy, export diversification, rapid upgrading of supply-chain capabilities, and domestic resilience measures. If managed well, India can mitigate the downside and capture upside opportunities; if mishandled, the tariff wave could impose long-lasting costs on employment, investment and export-led growth.

References:

Amiti, M., Redding, S. J., & Weinstein, D. E. (2019). The impact of the 2018 tariffs on prices and welfare. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 33*(4), 187–210. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.33.4.187. American Economic Association

Federal Register. (2025, April 7). *Regulating imports with a reciprocal tariff to rectify trade practices that contribute to large and persistent U.S. goods trade deficits.* Federal Register. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/04/07/2025-06063/regulating-imports-with-a-reciprocal-tariff-to-rectify-trade-practices-that-contribute-to-large-and. Federal Register

United States International Trade Commission (USITC). (2023). *Economic impact of Section 232 and Section 301 tariffs on U.S. industries* (Investigation No. 332-591). USITC. https://www.usitc.gov/publications/332/pub5405.pdf. USTIC

International Monetary Fund. (2025, April). *World Economic Outlook, April 2025.* IMF. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2025/04/22/world-economic-outlook-april-2025. IMF

Reuters. (2025, March 25). *Exclusive: India eyes tariff cut on more than half of U.S. imports to shield $66 bln exports — sources.* Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/world/india/india-eyes-tariff-cut-23-bln-us-imports-shield-66-bln-exports-sources-say-2025-03-25/. Reuters

World Bank. (2025). *Global Economic Prospects.* World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/global-economic-prospects. World Bank

U.S. Trade Representative. (2025). *Presidential tariff actions — reciprocal tariffs.* USTR. https://ustr.gov/trade-topics/presidential-tariff-actions. United States Trade Representative

WTO. (2025). *Global Trade Outlook and Statistics (April 2025).* World Trade Organization. https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/news25_e/stat_07oct25_e.pdf. World Trade Organization

U.S. Census Bureau. (2025). *U.S. trade in goods with India (monthly data).* U.S. Census. https://www.census.gov/foreign-trade/balance/c5330.html. Census.gov

CEPR. (2025, May 6). Roaring tariffs: The global impact of the 2025 US trade war. *VoxEU/CEPR.*https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/roaring-tariffs-global-impact-2025-us-trade-war. CEPR