International Journal of Marketing and Management Research

Vol. 9, Issue 5, May 2018, Impact Factor; 5.108 ISSN: (2229-6883)
www.skirec.orgEmail Id: skirec.org@gmail.com

LIMITS ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS REGISTERED IN NSE FROM PUBLICLY DISCLOSED CORPORATE AUTHORITY

Rajendra Giri[1], Dr. Gulab Singh Parmar[2]

Department of Management

[1,2]OPJS University, Churu (Rajasthan)

ABSTRACT

Globally, researchers, legislators, and regulators are re-engaging in corporate governance, but Nepal is still exploring the idea. This study sought to establish the present degree of corporate power declaration in Nepal. The secondary goal was to see if there were any correlations between disclosure level and five company-specific characteristics. Goal: find main variables that could explain disparities in disclosures. The survey sampled 125 Nepal Stock Exchange-listed banking and finance companies. Participants were chosen at random from 59 different companies. Companies revealed 91% of compulsory items, 48% of voluntary items, and 74% of everything. A significant positive relationship existed between firm size, leverage, and foreign ownership. There was no correlation between governance disclosure and stock age or profitability. The size of the bank influenced corporate governance openness. The D Score regression models with key (3) analyzers of structure, leverage, and foreign holding explained 47%, 24%, and 54% of differences in overall corporate governance disclosures in Nepal. The outcomes of this study may help policymakers and standard-setters design new legislation and accounting standards in the future.

Keywords: Banks and Financial Institutions, Corporate Governance, Disclosure,NSE,

1. Introduction

Preliminary openness and direction can be achieved by the use of only the very minimal amount of disclosure. For verification purposes, accounting authorities have developed widely accepted accounting codes and values. Transparency in disclosure can shield investors from real-world risk[1]. A lack of disclosure would give a negative signal to investors, prompting them to expect the worse. Partial transparency would put pressure on corporate governance systems to alleviate moral threat issues. Bad disclosure practises were nearly always present when it comes to corporate governance. Because of this, asymmetric information risk and stock market liquidity[2]reduced as a result of inadequate governance. As a result, stock market participants were able to make more informed decisions about how to allocate resources[3]. Declarations are needed by law as a regulatory tool to alleviate agency concerns caused by the separation of holding and management of corporations. Financial institutions around the world must adhere to high standards of corporate governance in order to maintain depositor confidence and remain credible in the marketplace. Despite this, corporate governance and declarations in the banking system have received less attention in research than in other sectors because the overall number of researches focused on governance-related declarations is limited.[4].Due to banks and financial institutions being excluded from several current international disclosure studies, this situation has been compounded even further. Banks and financial organisations were formerly excluded from research because of their distinct distinctions from other businesses. According to a central bank official, "poor corporate governance" is the main concern facing the sector, which makes the study's findings all the more relevant today. There have been similar concerns raised by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) about corporate governance issues in Nepalese listed businesses, claiming that family-dominance and a concentrated ownership structure are important obstacles to achieving effective corporate governance in Nepal.[5]

To establish the scope of mandatory corporate administration declaration, a non-experimental quantitative analysis was carried out in Nepal. On the Nepal Stock Exchange in Kathmandu, Nepal, the purpose was to look at how much information financial institutions were disclosing on corporate governance and how it related to five firm-specific factors. For this research, factors such as profitability, leverage, listing age, and the extent to which a firm has international holdings were taken into account.

2.Background

South Asia's Nepal is a secluded, mountainous country that shares borders with China and India. 147,181 square kilometres and a population of around 26 million people make up the country of Nepal, according to the latest census. In exchange for the Nepali Rupee (NRs), however, the Indian Rupee (INR) can be used. Democracy and constitutional sovereignty were established in Nepal as a result of the 1990 people's uprising. It was only in 2006 that an agreement was made to end the political turbulence and begin to build an independent, democratic state with the rule of law as its foundations[6]. There was still a wide variety of scores for governance in 2011, with an accountability score of -0.53, political stability of 1.55, and government efficacy of 0.79 percent. This predicament endures despite the steadfastness of Nepalese citizens in their pursuit of justice and accountability. In spite of this, the World Bank's assessment for conducting business was 107 (on a scale of 1–183), which was higher than the South Asian average of 117, and above India and Bangladesh. There was a 100-point difference between the ranking for starting a business and the area average of 23 in the range of 1–183. Investor safety, a director's sense of guilt, and the transparency index's strength were all given a score of 1 out of 10. The country's banking system is supervised by the Nepal Rastra Bank. Since the Bank and Financial Institutions Ordinance was passed by Parliament in 2006, banks and financial institutions have been regulated. Chapters dealing with accounts, records, and information are included in a dozen of the Act's twelve chapters. According to this Act, registrants must prepare their financial statements using the double entry system recommended by the Nepal Rastra Bank. Section 59 of the Code of Virginia. After the financial year has ended, companies must have their financial statements audited within five months. Only two directors, the CEO, and an auditor have the authority to sign off on the financial statements. As soon as the audit is complete, the auditors hand in their report to Nepal Rastra Bank and the applicable company[8]As part of the new Companies Act of 2006, all shareholders will be required to see their company's financial information once again in the open. On October 9, 2005, a reenergized parliament ratified the Companies Act 2006, which had previously been approved as an ordinance. Solid corporate governance, increased shareholder status, and a stricter framework for financial transparency are now at the forefront of the law's attention. [9]

3. Research Methodology

Using cross-sectional data from a single financial year in Nepal, this researcher drew conclusions using a quantitative and quasi-correlational study design. A cross-sectional study was the best option for gaining an overview of disclosure through time and establishing a standard for future studies..

3.1. Sample

The 125 banks and financial institutions listed on Nepal's Stock Exchange were included in the study sample (NSE). This was the intended audience for the research. An alpha level of 0.05 power of 80% was necessary for the study's random sample of 59 organisations In light of the sampling frame, this sample size was the most appropriate (see Table 1) Over the course of the year, 51 publicly accessible websites made their whole annual reports (CARs) available for free download. Three of the eight other companies that got letters seeking their annual reports were able to return them. Five more CARs were received from libraries after no one responded to follow-up correspondence, including e-mails and phone calls.

Every company in the sample frame has an equal chance of being randomly selected when using a probability sampling approach. The design was chosen for two reasons: one, it was free of bias, and second, the sample provided appropriate precision.[10]

3.2. Materials/Instruments

In order to measure corporate governance transparency, a disclosure index was devised. Indexing a company's public disclosures serves as a kind of master checklist. It is possible that some of the index items are mandatory while others are not. [11]It was decided to apply the corporate governance disclosure index to assess the fiscal year-end annual reports of banks and financial institutions listed on Nepal's stock exchange (NSE). One part of the index will be compulsory for corporate governance disclosure, and the other will be voluntary. In addition, the indices for required and optional disclosures were combined to produce a total disclosure index. The required index was used to test the first hypotheses (H1). The overall index, the required index, and the optional index were all used in the correlation study..

Effect size (f^2)	Sample size (N)	% of sampling frame
0.1	113	90.41
0.2	59	46.86
0.3	40	32.38
0.4	31	25.16
0.5	26	20.85

Table 1: To determine sample size, conducting a power analysis.(power = 0.80 and α = 0.05).[10]

3.3 Index scoring and weighting

The disclosure index can make use of both weighed and unweighed items. A survey of user groups could help weight disclosure items based on their knowledge. Because both the weighted and the unweighted scores yielded the same results, an unweighted index was used in this study to measure the level of transparency. Studies have argued for an unweighted index because of the potential for bias in the weights of index items.. Due to their objective bias, surveys were not always practical for obtaining consistent perceptions of disclosure items, even though this would have improved their homogeneity.[11]

3.4. Analysis

Only a small percentage of the historical data comes straight from the corporations' websites or libraries, which were open to the public. In the case of declaration (or disclosure), the index allocated a 1; in the case of non-declaration, a 0. (or non-disclosure). A disclosure grade was issued as a result of this. The Securities Board of Nepal's (SBN) annual report for the financial year of listing age was the primary source of data for firm-specific attributes that were manually gathered.. The descriptive statistics for the study's test variables were compiled using SPSS.

There was no room for error or omission. A number of hypotheses were tested on the data, including the assumption that it was normal. Non-normal data, such as size and listing age, have to be transformed using a log transformation. As a result, a non-parametric type of correlation analysis was used, even though the ForenAsc variable was converted to a FOwn variable so that the regression analysis could be carried out by assigning "1" to companies with foreign holdings and "0" to those without any foreign holdings at all. ForenAsc did not conform to the normality assumption, and the log transformation produced the same results. DScore (M) and amount of compliance with obligatory disclosure requirements were used in this evaluation (H1).

Sample characteristics	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.
Size (millions of NRs)	10,969.90	13,800.00	498.3	57,305.40
Profit (%)	1.74	0.8	0.12	3.55
Lev (%)	87	4.8	77.7	96.7
LAge (years)	8	6	1	25
ForenAsc (%)	4.7	14.2	0	75

NRs stands for Nepali Rupees, the local currency of Nepal as shown in balance sheet.

Table 3: A descriptive study of the companies in the sample(N = 59)[4]

4. Findings

Table 2 summarises the findings of the descriptive analysis of the sample's attributes.Of the companies studied, 68% had been listed on the Nepal Stock Exchange for fewer than ten years (Mean value = 8) and 10% had been listed for 15 years or more when the study was conducted. There were 58% of businesses with assets under 5000 million Nepali Rupees (NR) and 10% of businesses with assets over 25,000 million. The return on assets was between one and two percentage points for the majority of businesses (42%), while the return on assets was greater than three percentage points for the minority (7%) of businesses. The sample banks and financial firms in Nepal have greater total liabilities to total assets leverage (Mean = 87%). Many corporations (32 percent) had leverage in the range of 90 to 95 percent,whereas only a handful (2 percent) had a leverage ratio or equity multiplier of over 95 percent. The financial sector was dominated by Nepali shareholders (85%), with some (15%) of the firms recorded inthe Nepal Stock Exchange having foreign holding (Mean value= 4.7%).

4.1. Evaluation of findings

91 percent of the mandatory disclosure index items were declared by Nepalese enterprises, suggesting a high level of compliance with the country's disclosure regime criteria. Corporate size, leverage, and the company's international association were all found to have a strong correlation with the disclosure variable in a study. Governance transparency was shown to have no meaningful correlation with profitability or the age of the company's stock. Only the size of the organisation was found to have a significant impact on predicting governance transparency. In the following part, the study's results are compared and contrasted with data from other studies in order to identify commonalities and discrepancies..

4.2. Extent of mandatory governance disclosure

This study examined whether or not Nepali banks and financial institutions conformed to corporate governance disclosure criteria, as management and stakeholders have an agency problem. According to the study's regulatory environment, a disclosure index was used to determine how much information had to be released. A high level of conformity to legal reporting standards was expected of business organisations because these pledges were made in order to standardise the information provided and impose greater accountability, according to hypothesis H1. Increased disclosure levels were made possible by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) templates that included a variety of options. Limited In 2010, NEPSE published [NEPSE, 2010] In the Nepalese stock market Listed firms might also be delisted if transparency standards were not followed, and companies could levy fines, some of which were borne by corporate officers themselves. Summarizing, Nepal's average disclosure score was 91%, meaning that 91% of the information required to be declared by financial institutions was revealed in annual reports.

5. Conclusions

It was the goal of this research to find a remedy to Nepal's banking industry's lack of effective corporate governance. However, despite reporting 91% of the index items, only 48% of the projected voluntary disclosure was made by Nepalese enterprises. There was a high correlation between the size of an organisation and the amount of information it disclosed, with larger organisations disclosing more information. There was also a strong correlation between disclosure and corporate power, with larger organisations disclosing more information than smaller ones Neither listing age nor profitability were found to be linked to information about business management..

References

[1] S. BROWN, W. GOETZMANN, B. LIANG, and C. SCHWARZ, “Mandatory Disclosure and Operational Risk: Evidence from Hedge Fund Registration,” *J. Finance*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 2785–2815, Dec. 2008, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2008.01413.x.

[2] W.-P. Chen, H. Chung, C. Lee, and W.-L. Liao, "Corporate Governance and Equity Liquidity: analysis of S&P transparency and disclosure rankings," *Corp. Gov. An Int. Rev.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 644–660, Jul. 2007, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2007.00594.x.

[3] S. Arvidsson, "Disclosure of non-financial information in the annual report: A management-team perspective," *J. Intellect. Cap.*, 2011.

[4] R. Hooghiemstra, "What determines the informativeness of firms' explanations for deviations from the Dutch corporate governance code?," *Account. Bus. Res.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2012.

[5] D. M. Pokhrel and G. B. Thapa, "Are marketing intermediaries exploiting mountain farmers in Nepal? A study based on market price, marketing margin and income distribution analyses," *Agric. Syst.*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 151–164, 2007.

[6] K. French *et al.*, "The Squam Lake report: fixing the financial system," *J. Appl. Corp. Financ.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 8–21, 2010.

[7] A. Mayer-Rieckh, *Dealing with the Past in Security Sector Reform*. Ubiquity Press, 2013.

[8] N. Sharma, "Extent of corporate governance disclosure by banks and finance companies listed on Nepal Stock Exchange," *Adv. Account.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 425–439, 2014.

[9] P. Chen *et al.*, "Source apportionment of particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Lumbini, Nepal by using the positive matrix factorization receptor model," *Atmos. Res.*, vol. 182, pp. 46–53, 2016.

[10] D. WARFIELD, "IS/IT RESEARCH: A RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES REVIEW.," *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 13, 2010.

[11] C. L. Marston and P. J. Shrives, "The use of disclosure indices in accounting research: a review article," *Br. Account. Rev.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 195–210, 1991.